Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/565,236	BUDDENBERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel J. Pereira</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 February 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 9/25/08		
Claims discussed: 1,5,10, and 23		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 23 and cancel claims 5 and 10, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of pages 4 and 7 of the instant specification, and the results shown in the instant Examples.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Peter A. Hruskoci/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)